Living in a DNSSEC enabled world

Keith Mitchell, VP Engineering Internet Systems Consortium UKNOF19, Leeds, April 2011



Outline

- The DNS Root
- A bit of History
- Several DNSSEC operational incidents
- Tools and Recommendations
- NOTE: This is the impact of DNSSEC for the network and systems types.
 DNS Admins will need to go much deeper.



DNS Root Myths

• Where all DNS Queries begin!

Well, if you don't have anything cached

Holds all the "IMPORTANT" zones

Only needs to hold "."

 Consists of 13 servers, A-Root through M-Root

13 Instances, but MANY more servers







DNS Root Servers January 2011



And then came DNSSEC

- DNSSEC signing the root was a BIG deal
- Months of planning
- Repeated DITLs (Day in the Life)
- Then added the DURZ (Deliberately Unvalidatable Root Zones)
- Finally fully formally signed on July 15, 2010



And of course the TLDs

- Many TLDs and ccTLDs signed already
 - .com, .org, .net, .edu, .gov
 - .uk, .se, .au, .jp, .cz, .fr
 - many more (though some may still be in testing)
- .co.uk planned end of April 2011



Network Impact of DNSSEC

- Signed DNS responses are BIG
 - Have DS, NSEC, DNSKEY, & RRSig data
 - Dramatically increases query response sizes
 - 512 byte UDP packets just don't cut it
 - EDNS0 is no longer "nice to have"



Just how much bigger?

Without DNSSEC

Jim@131-203-50-204:/>dig +nodnssec www.isc.org; <<>> DiG 9.6.0-APPLE-P2 <<>> +nodnssec www.isc.org;; global o ptions: +cmd;; Got answer:;; ->>HEADER<<- opcode: QUERY, status: NOERROR, id: 2203;; flags: qr rd ra; QUERY: 1, ANSWER: 1, AUTHORITY: 4, ADDITIONAL: 8;; QUESTION SECTION:;www.isc.org. IN A;; ANSWER SECTION:www.isc.org. 547 IN A 149.20.64.42{ LOTS REMOVED };; Query time: 40 msec;; SERVER: 131.203.1.5#53(131.203.1.5);; WHEN: Wed Jan 26 17:53:27 2011;; MSG SIZE rcvd: 320

520

Jim@Bikeshed:/data/users/jrmii>dig <u>www.isc.org</u>. a +dnssec @204.152.187.13; <<>> DiG 9.6.2-P2 <<>> <u>www.isc.org</u>. a +dnssec @204.152.187.13;; global options: +cmd;; Got answer:;; ->>HEADER<<opcode: QUERY, status: NOERROR, id: 51546;; flags: qr rd ra ad; QUERY: 1, ANSWER: 2, AUTHORITY: 5, ADDITIONAL: 13;; OPT PSEUDOSECTION:; EDNS: version: 0, flags: do; udp: 4096;; QUESTIOI SECTION:;<u>www.isc.org</u>. IN A;; ANSWER SECTION:<u>www.isc.org</u>. 442 IN A

149.20.64.42<u>www.isc.org</u>. 442 IN RRSIG A 5 3 600 20110221233210 20110122233210 26982 isc.org.

ZPrxCONvy/c2FEKmcEgKD7rS3YC1f4RL9Du3h1w6/Xcu1YOAzhFA33Z G

j/Q2d9GqG5oTWkf1kTyVDg68fOrpNhvc0nKIOTUoT7GWu4Q6odMx0iA I11/dIchktSd2amBap3MOLcMcPAly4AKfaceDss8DlHrrQTQOWyhn4Rl IWw=

LOTS MORE REMOVED }

; Query time: 1 msec;; SERVER: 204.152.187.13#53(204.152.187.13);; WHEN: Wed Jan 26 05:45:12 2011;; MSG SIZE rcvd: 1623



EDNS0

- Extension Mechanisms for DNS-RFC 2671
 - Allows for bigger DNS messages
 - Uses IP Fragments
 - Recommended maximum of 4K
 - NOT on by default in all firewalls
 - NOT a given in all home "routers"



ISC Secondary Name Service (SNS)

- Provide both free (SNS-PB) and SLA-Backed (SNS-Com) DNS Secondary Service
- 3 separate AnyCast Clouds with multiple providers
- Largely IPv6 Enabled
- Fully DNSSEC capable





Simple DNSSEC Failure Behavior

- Content provider DNSSEC signs their zones and gets proper DS records installed
- End user tries to look up FQDN in that zone (eg, <u>www.foo.org</u>) and it fails
- End user believes that <u>www.foo.org</u> is "down"



Simple DNSSEC Failure Cause

- Client unwittingly sets the bits to allow validation
- {Firewall, middlebox, CPE} not EDNS0compliant
- {Firewall, middlebox, CPE, host firewall} explicitly blocks IPv4 Fragments
- Recursive resolver not DNSSEC capable or doesn't have the root anchors installed



More Obscure Failure Behavior

- We host a large multi-national Internet property in SNS
- Their zones were NOT signed
- Some users couldn't successfully resolve records in that domain.



The cause of that more obscure failure

- The zone of the content provider were NOT signed
- NS records for the zone referenced records that WERE in a signed zone
- The resultant responses popped above the 512 byte limit, and we're back at the same behavior as the simple case



Key Rollover

- Periodically the Signing Keys (KSK/ZSK) should be changed
- During the period while ANY server could be passing out the old key, both the old and new keys are included in responses
- Yup, that response just got bigger!
- This mostly impacts places where EDNS0 is enabled, but a "conservative" (often 1K) limit is chosen

But I don't have a problem... really!

You sure? Use the OARC Reply Size test!

Jim@131-203-50-204:/>dig +short rs.dns-oarc.net txtrst.x4091.rs.dns-oarc.net.rst.x3837.x4091.rs.dnsoarc.net.rst.x3843.x3837.x4091.rs.dns-oarc.net."Tested at 2011-01-26 03:52:33 UTC""202.53.189.253 sent EDNS buffer size 4096""202.53.189.253 DNS reply size limit is at least 4091"

490.x485.x476.rs.dns-oarc.net."68.87.76.181 DNS reply size



BIND

- Has been doing EDNS0 since 8.3.0
- Got DNSSEC (bis) in 9.3.0
- BUT has known flaws for anything before 9.4-ESV
- It's highly recommended that you run 9.8.0, 9.7.3 or 9.6-ESV-R4



Key Take Aways

- Make sure your BIND install is 9.7.3 or 9.6-ESV
- Make sure all your network elements that touch DNS can do EDNS0 and allow 4K responses
- Make sure any network security elements allow IP Fragments
- Use the reply size tester to validate your systems and to identify customer problems



References

DNS Root Servers

http://www.root-servers.org/

http://www.root-dnssec.org/

DNSSEC

http://dnssec.net/

• Test Tools

https://www.dns-oarc.net/oarc/services/replysizetest

Name Server (BIND)

http://www.isc.org http://www.isc.org/software/bind/versions



Acknowledgements

- Jim Martin, Peter Losher, ISC
 - (based on previous NZNOG & NANOG talks)
- https://www.dnsoarc.net/files/workshop-201103/JotPowers.pdf





Questions?

- While you're thinking of questions:
 - If you want to peer with F-Root, talk to Emma Smith here, or send mail to peering@isc.org
 - We host public-benefit organizations through our Hosted@ and SNS-PB programs. Contact {hosted,sns}@isc.org
 - Remember ISC is a public-benefit and survives through donations, forum memberships, SNS-Com and support contracts.
 - We appreciate any help, and need it to keep doing good work!

