Not Large BGP Communities

David Freedman
david.freedman@uk.clara.net
Claranet

In brief.

- BGP Communities Attribute (RFC 1997, Aug 1996)
 - Designed for Internet
 - Broad support in BGP implementations.
 - 32 bits, commonly expressed as "16-bit ASN : 16-bit VALUE"
 - No space for ASN32 ⊗
- BGP Extended Communities Attribute (RFC 4360, Feb 2006)
 - Designed for L2/3VPN
 - Limited support in BGP implementations, slow adoption in newcomers.
 - 64 bits, commonly expressed as "8/16-bit TYPE: 48/56-bit VALUE"
 - The VALUE further expressed in subsequent extensions
 - RFC5668 (Oct 2009) specifies an ASN32 TYPE
 - subsequent value of "32-bit ASN : 16-bit VALUE" Not ideal ⊗

So what other options for ASN32 users?

- Flexible Communities Attribute (<u>draft-lange-flexible-bgp-communities</u>, Dec 2002)
 - Complex expression, essentially "16-bit TYPE: 32-bit ASN: up-to-2040-bit VALUE"
 - No consensus or implementations.
- W i d e Communities Attribute (draft-ietf-idr-wide-bgp-communities, Jul 2010)
 - Extremely complex expression, "kitchen sink" approach, 16 bit LENGTH -> up to 65KB VALUE!
 - No consensus or implementations.
- Large Communities Attribute (<u>draft-ietf-idr-large-community</u>, Sep 2016)
 - Simple (by comparison) expression, "32-bit ASN: 32-bit VALUE1: 32-bit VALUE2"
 - Consensus, (from both operators, and the IETF), implementations (both commercial and open source), and accepted to be published as an RFC (currently awaiting a number).

Large BGP Community Examples

| RFC 1997 (Current) | Large BGP Communities | Action |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|---|
| 65400: <i>peer-as</i> | 2914:65400: <i>peer-as</i> | Do not Advertise to <i>peer-as</i> in North America (NTT) |
| 0:peer-as | 6667:0: <i>peer-as</i> | Do not Announce to Route Server <i>peer-as</i> (AMS-IX) |
| 65520: <i>nnn</i> | 2914:65520: <i>nnn</i> | Lower Local Preference in Country nnn (NTT) |
| 2914:410 | 2914:400:10 | Route Received From a Peering Partner (NTT) |
| 2914:420 | 2914:400:20 | Route Received From a Customer (NTT) |

- Canonical representation is \$ME: \$ACTION: \$YOU
- No namespace collisions or use of reserved ASNs
- Enables operators to use 32-bit ASNs in \$ME and \$YOU values

BGP Speaker Implementation Status

| Implementation | Software | Status | Details |
|-------------------|------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Arista | EOS | Planned | Feature Requested BUG169446 |
| Cisco | <u>IOS XR</u> | Planned | Engineering Release, rumour has Second Half 2017 |
| cz.nic | BIRD | ✓ Done! | BIRD 1.6.3 (commit) |
| ExaBGP | <u>ExaBGP</u> | ✓ Done! | PR482 |
| Juniper | Junos OS | Planned | Second Half 2017 |
| MikroTik | RouterOS | Won't Implement Until RFC | Feature Requested 2016090522001073 |
| Nokia | <u>SR OS</u> | Planned | Third Quarter 2017 |
| OpenBSD | <u>OpenBGPD</u> | ✓ Done! | OpenBSD 6.1 (<u>commit</u>) |
| OSRG | GoBGP | ✓ Done! | PR1094 |
| rtbrick | <u>Fullstack</u> | Planned | February 2017 |
| Quagga | Quagga | ✓ Done! | Patch Provided for 1.1.0 <u>875</u> |
| Ubiquiti Networks | <u>EdgeOS</u> | Planned | Internal Enhancement Requested |
| VyOS | <u>VyOS</u> | Requested | Feature Requested <u>T143</u> |

Visit http://largebgpcommunities.net/implementations/ for the Latest Status

Tools and Ecosystem Implementation Status

| Implementation | Software | Status | Details |
|--------------------|-------------------|---------|------------------------------|
| DE-CIX | <u>pbgpp</u> | ✓ Done! | <u>PR16</u> |
| FreeBSD | tcpdump | ✓ Done! | PR213423 |
| Marco d'Itri | zebra-dump-parser | ✓ Done! | PR3 |
| OpenBSD | tcpdump | ✓ Done! | OpenBSD 6.1 (<u>patch</u>) |
| pmacct.net | <u>pmacct</u> | ✓ Done! | <u>PR61</u> |
| RIPE NCC | <u>bgpdump</u> | ✓ Done! | <u>Issue 41</u> |
| tcpdump.org | <u>tcpdump</u> | ✓ Done! | PR543 (commit) |
| Yoshiyuki Yamauchi | <u>mrtparse</u> | ✓ Done! | <u>PR13</u> |
| Wireshark | <u>Dissector</u> | ✓ Done! | 18172 (patch) |

Visit http://largebgpcommunities.net/implementations/ for the Latest Status

Large BGP Communities Beacon Prefixes

- The following prefixes are announced with AS path 2914 15562\$
 - 192.147.168.0/24 (looking glass)
 - 2001:67c:208c::/48 (looking glass)
 - Large BGP Community:15562:1:1

Cisco IOS Output (Without Large BGP Communities Support)

```
route-views>sh ip bgp 192.147.168.0
BGP routing table entry for 192.147.168.0/24, version 98399100
Paths: (39 available, best #30, table default)
Not advertised to any peer
Refresh Epoch 1
701 2914 15562
   137.39.3.55 from 137.39.3.55 (137.39.3.55)
   Origin IGP, localpref 100, valid, external
   unknown transitive attribute: flag 0xE0 type 0x20 length 0xC
   value 0000 3CCA 0000 0001 0000 0001
rx pathid: 0, tx pathid: 0
```

BIRD Output (With Large BGP Communities Support)

```
COLOCLUE1 11:06:17 from 94.142.247.3] (100/-) [AS15562i]
Type: BGP unicast univ
BGP.origin: IGP
BGP.as_path: 8283 2914 15562
BGP.next_hop: 94.142.247.3
BGP.med: 0
BGP.local_pref: 100
BGP.community: (2914,410) (2914,1206) (2914,2203) (8283,1)
BGP.large_community: (15562, 1, 1)
```

Network Operator To Do List

- The entire network **ecosystem** needs to support Large BGP Communities in order to provision, deploy and troubleshoot.
- Ask your routing vendors and implementers for software support.
 - Cisco: waiting for IOS-XR, but they have no plan for IOS(XE) and NX-OS.
 - Juniper have started already, but only Junos OS is planned.
 - Brocade and Huawei, no commitments, why??
- Update your tools and provisioning software
- Extend your routing policies, and openly publish this information
- **Train** your technical staff

Questions?

Visit http://LargeBGPCommunities.net/ for the Latest Info

Further questions -> myself or job@ntt.net