# Dropping in 80Gbits (sort of) of Stateful Firewalling with OpenBSD <br> (PF, OpenOSPF) 

UKNOF 37, Manchester

## Caveats

I am not pushing 80Gbits yet (sorry if you were expecting Netflix levels of awesome)

See: Sort of

## Who am I?

## Gareth Llewellyn

@NetworkString | gareth@networksaremadeofstring.co.uk
Currently operates AS28715 | Presentation is about AS202119
AS28715 Non-profit for operating Tor Exits / Relays
AS202119 \$DayJob-1

Stateless


## Stateless



R1 Cisco ASR 1002-x
R2 Cisco ASR 1002-x
R3 Cisco ASR 1004
R4
Core 1
Core 2
Arista 7050S-52
(52x 10Gb)
Arista 7050-128x (96x 10Gb 8 x 40 Gb )
Leaf Arista 7048T
(48x 1Gb $4 \times 10 \mathrm{~Gb})$

# And then there was SOC II 

## SOC II

- A stateful inspection firewall shall exist between the Internet and all assets.
- Firewalls shall be configured to allow explicitly approved services and protocols into and out of the environment, with default deny-all.


## Requirements

- 1:1 contention within a DC (leaf / spine)
- Didn't want to have 20Gbits+ of routing capacity constrained by firewalls
- Not cost the earth

Gathering Quotes


## Nope nope nowe en -

## Enter Stage Left: Puffy



## Platform

- Stock server was a DL360p Gen8
- $2 x$ PCI-E slots (x16 + x8)
- Dual Xeon(R) CPU E5-2630 CPUs
- 32Gb of RAM amd64
- 4 x 1 Gb NICs
- Added $2 x$ Intel x520 NICs (2x 10Gb SX)
bge(4)
ix(4)
- Hundreds of servers in the DC (plenty of warm spares if waiting for RMA)
- HP DL360p
- Dell C8000 SW sled
- Dell C8000 DW sled
- Dell R720
"Core" platform
"Core" platform
DB servers
Hadoop


## Platform

Two more quad 10Gbit \#OpenBSD firewalls are being deployed as part of the @as202119 \#IPv6 migration.
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SOAK Testing - Good job we have those spares...


## Transition - Starting Point



Transition - Finish Point


Transition - Drop in the BSDs




## Transition - Statics



## Transition - Statics



Arista switches started to arbitrarily null route OSPF learnt networks and/or dumping their routing tables.

Explained as: A difference between the way GateD based routers and other devices behave when they receive LSU with the same SEQ number.

Effectively a difference between Cisco / OpenOSPFd / Arista in regards to checksumming LS updates.

Arista bug 119845 was created

## Transition - Statics



## Transition - OSPF



## Literal Checklists

## Static Routes Removed



## pfsync(4)

## Pain Points

PFSYNC

- Asynchronous Routing
- Dropped packets
- 4(8)x 10Gbit interfaces vs $1 \times 1 \mathrm{~Gb}$ syncdev
- Can't increase maxupd too much
- Dirty hack
- OSPF weights
- Let TCP / applications retry in the event of a failure


## DDOS

## Pain Points

DDOS

- ~11Gbit/s of additional traffic
- Weekly
- $99 \%$ DNS Reflection
- Lasts an hour or two
- PF did not like this
- Had to hand back off to the ASRs


## Syncing Rules

## Pain Points

Syncing Rules

- We use Chef on all other servers
- Currently
- Make a change on the 'primary' (remember OSPF hack)
- Then on the secondary
- Need a better way
- Chef
- pf tables + magic

Wahoo


## Wahoo - Not So Much




Wahoo - Well, it works


Next Steps


## Pictures - Because



Photos


The first time buying an operating system...


## Questions?

